

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 109 665

95

CS 002 078

AUTHOR Kurth, Ruth Justine
TITLE Evaluation of an Objective-Based Curriculum in Word Attack.
INSTITUTION Wisconsin Univ., Madison. Research and Development Center for Cognitive Learning.
SPONS AGENCY National Inst. of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C.
REPORT NO WRDCCL-TR-289
PUB DATE May 75
CONTRACT NE-C-00-3-0065
NOTE 101p.; Report from the Project on Conditions of School Learning and Instructional Strategies

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.76 HC-\$5.70 PLUS POSTAGE
DESCRIPTORS Curriculum Guides; *Decoding (Reading); Elementary Education; Performance Based Education; *Phonics; *Reading Instruction; Reading Research; Sight Vocabulary; *Structural Analysis; Teaching Methods; Word Lists; Word Recognition; *Word Study Skills

ABSTRACT

The primary purpose of this study was to provide empirical evidence for the assumption that if the essential subskills of word attack are mastered, then functional word attack ability will result. To obtain such evidence, the study was designed to test the functional word attack ability of 140 elementary school students who had been taught the subskills of word attack according to an objective-based, skill-oriented approach outlined in the Wisconsin Design of Reading Skill Development: Word Attack Element. Word lists were developed to test the subjects' ability to decode synthetic words which tested specific phonic and structural subskills of word attack, phonically and structurally regular words, and phonically and structurally irregular words. The results of the study showed that the subjects were able to decode the synthetic words at the suggested 80 percent mastery level. Not all of the subjects were able to decode all of the phonically and structurally regular words at an 80 percent mastery level. However, they did attain higher scores on the tests of regular words than they did on the tests of irregular words. (MKM)

* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished *
* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort *
* to obtain the best copy available. nevertheless, items of marginal *
* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality *
* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available *
* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not *
* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *
* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. *

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

Technical Report No. 289

EVALUATION OF AN OBJECTIVE-BASED
CURRICULUM IN WORD ATTACK

by

Ruth Justine Kurth

Report from the Project on
Conditions of School Learning
and Instructional Strategies

Wayne Otto
Principal Investigator

Wisconsin Research and Development Center
for Cognitive Learning
The University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin
May 1975

Published by the Wisconsin Research and Development Center for Cognitive Learning, supported in part as a research and development center by funds from the National Institute of Education, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. The opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the position or policy of the National Institute of Education and no official endorsement by that agency should be inferred.

Center Contract No. NE-C-00-3-0065

WISCONSIN RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER FOR COGNITIVE LEARNING

MISSION

The mission of the Wisconsin Research and Development Center for Cognitive Learning is to help learners develop as rapidly and effectively as possible their potential as human beings and as contributing members of society. The R&D Center is striving to fulfill this goal by

- conducting research to discover more about how children learn
- developing improved instructional strategies, processes and materials for school administrators, teachers, and children, and
- offering assistance to educators and citizens which will help transfer the outcomes of research and development into practice

PROGRAM

The activities of the Wisconsin R&D Center are organized around one unifying theme, Individually Guided Education.

FUNDING

The Wisconsin R&D Center is supported with funds from the National Institute of Education; the Bureau of Education for the Handicapped, U.S. Office of Education; and the University of Wisconsin.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to thank Professor Wayne Otto, my major professor, for his support throughout my graduate program and for his advice in carrying out this study. I am also grateful to the members of my dissertation committee, Professor Kenneth Dulin and Professor Dale Johnson; to those who agreed to serve as readers, Professor Charles Bunge and Professor Miles Nelson; and to my minor professor, Professor Gertrude Herman.

I would also like to thank Mr. Eugene Lynch of the Duluth, Minnesota Public Schools for his cooperation in providing Subjects for this study and Mr. Robert Kuhn for his help with the statistical analysis of the data.

I would also thank the members of my family for their help and support throughout my doctoral program.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
ABSTRACT	xi
CHAPTER	
I. BACKGROUND AND SCOPE OF THE STUDY	1
Introduction	1
Review of the Literature	2
The Wisconsin Design for Reading Skill	
Development--Word Attack Element	6
Specific Purpose of the Study	13
II. PROCEDURE OF THE STUDY	17
Subjects	17
Testing Materials	19
The Synthetic Word Lists	20
The Real Word Lists	24
Testing Procedure	40
Rationale for the Levels of Mastery	42
Hypotheses	42
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	45
Synthetic Word Lists	45
Real Word Lists	49
Correlations between Responses to Synthetic	
and Real Word Lists	63
Effect of List Length	64
Summary of the Results	65
IV. SUMMARY, LIMITATIONS, AND IMPLICATIONS OF	
THE STUDY	69
Summary	69
Limitations of the Study	74
Implications of the Study for Further	
Research in Word Attack	76
REFERENCES	81
APPENDIX	85

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Frequencies, Rank-Order, Number of Words, and Sample Size by Stratum	27
2	Number of Regular and Irregular Words in Samples by Stratums	29
3	Schema of Real Word Lists	36
4	Word List Study Design: Number of Subjects by Real Word List by Synthetic List by Sex	40
5	Distribution of the Relative True Scores on the Synthetic Word Lists	46
6	Percentages of Incorrect Responses to Synthetic Word Lists	48
7	Means and Standard Deviations for Regular Word Lists	50
8	Distribution of the Relative True Scores on the Regular Word Lists	51
9	Means and Standard Deviations for Irregular Word Lists	55
10	Distribution of the Relative True Scores on the Irregular Word Lists	56
11	Comparison of the Relative True Score of Ninety Per Cent of the Experimental Subjects on the Regular and Irregular Word Lists	58
12	Comparison of Means and Standard Deviations on Regular and Irregular Lists	60
13	Comparison of Control Subjects' and Experimental Subjects' Mean Scores on the Irregular Word Lists	62
14	Correlations Between Responses to Synthetic and Real Word Lists	63
15	Mean Scores for Each of the Eight Real Word Lists	65

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Cumulative Distribution of Regular and Irregular Words From Each Frequency Stratum	60

ABSTRACT

The primary purpose of this study was to provide empirical evidence for the assumption that if the essential subskills of word attack are mastered, then functional word attack ability will result. To obtain such evidence, the study was designed to test the functional word attack ability of Subjects who had been taught the subskills of word attack according to an objective-based, skill-oriented approach.

The Subjects for this study had been taught the subskills of word attack according to the approach outlined in the Wisconsin Design of Reading Skill Development: Word Attack Element. The terminal objective of the Word Attack Element states that at its completion, students will be able to decode all phonically and structurally regular words. Therefore, the specific objective of this study was to determine to what extent Subjects who had completed the Word Attack Element attained this terminal objective. Word lists were developed to test the Subjects' ability to decode synthetic words which tested specific phonic and structural subskills of word attack, phonically and structurally regular words, and phonically and structurally irregular words.

The results of the study showed that the Subjects who had completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design were able to decode the synthetic words at the suggested eighty per cent mastery level. Not all of the Subjects were able to decode all of the

phonically and structurally regular words at an eighty percent mastery level. However, they did attain higher scores on the tests of regular words than they did on the tests of irregular words.

The results of the synthetic word tests indicate that the Subjects had mastered those phonic and structural subskills tested in the study. The results on the regular and irregular word tests indicate that although the terminal objective of the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design was not attained, using an objective-based, skill-oriented approach to word attack appears to have an effect on the student's ability to decode phonically and structurally regular words, since the Subjects' scores on the regular word lists were higher than their scores on the irregular word lists. If learning the skills taught in the Word Attack Element had no effect on the Subjects' ability to decode regular words, their scores on the regular and irregular word lists should have been relatively the same. Thus, while this study does not provide rigorous support for the assumption that if the basic subskills of word attack are mastered, then functional word attack ability will result, it does indicate that teaching such subskills has some value for the student faced with the task of decoding words.

CHAPTER I

BACKGROUND AND SCOPE OF THE STUDY

Introduction

The assumption that if the essential subskills necessary for word attack are mastered, then functional word attack ability will result is an assumption which has high face validity and is supported in the literature in the field of reading. However, there is little empirical evidence which substantiates this assumption. The primary purpose of this study was to attempt to provide such empirical evidence.

In order to obtain such an empirical evaluation, the study was designed to test the functional word attack ability of Subjects who had been taught the word attack subskills according to an objective-based, skill-oriented approach. The experimental Subjects for this study had been specifically taught the skills of word attack according to the approach outlined in the Wisconsin Design for Reading Skill Development: Word Attack Element.

The objective of this study was to determine to what extent children who were taught according to a skill-oriented, objective-based approach to word attack were able to decode synthetic words designed to test individual phonic and structural analysis subskills, phonically and structurally regular words, and phonically and structurally irregular words.

Review of the Literature

Teachers of reading have often stressed the importance of teaching word recognition skills, and most reading specialists would agree that the learning of such skills is the first step in the reading process. Gray (1960), for example, placed the skill of word perception at the center of his descriptive model for reading, for according to him, communication cannot take place without the basic skills of word perception. Schell (1973) similarly places word recognition at the beginning of his continuum of the components of the reading process. In a discussion of their work with remedial readers, Roswell and Chall (1957) claim that the first step toward building adequate reading ability is the teaching of accurate word recognition skills.

Frank Smith (1972) would agree that skill in word recognition is imperative if the beginning reader is ever to be successful in achieving his goal of functional reading ability. According to Smith, the information-processing capacities of the novice reader are taxed to a greater extent than are those of the mature reader. The beginner cannot identify words and meanings directly. Instead what Smith refers to as "mediated identification" is required. This mediated word identification involves the mapping of the word unto its sound pattern. Such word identification, says Smith, must occur if there is to be any basis for comprehension. Gagne (1970) would concur that the acquisition of word sounds and the mastery of verbal concepts

are basic to learning to read, and that if learning at the higher levels is to occur with facility, attention must be paid to these fundamental prerequisites. Staats (1970) also feels that learning to read is a complex cognitive task where additional skills are added to basic repertoires of skills in a cumulative-hierarchical fashion and that these skills can be acquired by using elementary learning principles.

The linguistic-based approach to reading of Roberts and Lunzer (1968) also focuses on word attack as an essential initial skill in acquiring functional reading ability. They believe that learning to read begins with the identification of sound values of letters and of the relationship between the written and spoken sequences. Roberts and Lunzer add that these very basic skills will probably be automatized in the mature reader, but that they are difficult skills which initially need more careful analysis and study.

While Bond and Tinker (1967, pp. 263-265) are careful to emphasize the need for a balance between the establishment of word recognition techniques and the development of comprehension strategies, they still stress the importance of such word recognition skills. They argue that the teacher who neglects to teach word recognition skills may cause the child to make random attempts to say any word that comes to mind when faced with a new word or else may make the child too dependent on the teacher. Weiner and Cromer (1967) corroborate this view when they comment on the "look-say"

method of teaching reading. According to Weiner and Cromer, if it were possible for a child to have a source for identifying words the first time he encountered them, e.g., via another person pronouncing them, and if he had the ability to store and recover the words as presented, then the "look-say" method would be sufficient. However, if new or novel words occur and there is not any external identifying source, then a skill for identifying words by himself is required by the child.

A. J. Harris (1970, p. 315) expresses a similar opinion when he states that most words must be recognized immediately at sight and that the reader must be able to work out the identification of words he cannot so recognize; and similarly Marjorie Johnson (1964) believes that the ability to react effortlessly to the majority of words should be accompanied by a reservoir of word analysis skills to unlock the few unfamiliar words that are met from time to time. Scrivner (1972, p. 63) contends that the problem of dealing with unfamiliar words necessitates ability in word recognition. She says that it is almost impossible to overemphasize the importance of ability in word attack. In order to be a successful reader, a child must be able to pronounce new words, associate those words with past exposure or experience, and relate them to the present reading situation.

DeBoer and Dallmann (1970, p. 115) also agree that most children can be aided by specific instruction in reading skills including

word attack. They argue that children can make more rapid improvement if they are shown how to recognize letters and phonic elements and how to discover familiar elements in longer, unfamiliar words. DeBoer and Dallmann's hypothesis seems to be supported by Benz and Rosemeir (1968) who found that success in certain specific phonic skills was related most closely to success in reading comprehension tasks in fourth grade readers. In a study of first grade readers, Hardy (1973) found that children who were most successful in a word recognition task employed processes of sound substitution, structural analysis, phonic analysis, and sight word recognition.

Teachers and researchers who agree on the importance of teaching word recognition skills also appear to agree that the general ability to attack words is composed of certain specific subskills. According to the literature (DeBoer & Dallmann, 1970, p. 115; Guszak, 1972, pp. 24-48; Harris & Smith, 1972, pp. 207-208; Karlin, 1971, pp. 141-178; Singer, 1969, pp. 50-59), these basic subskills of word attack include the acquisition of a sight vocabulary, phonic and structural word analysis techniques, meaning clues, and/or a combination of these.

Thus, the assumption that if the essential subskills necessary for word attack are mastered, then functional word attack ability will result has high face validity and is supported in the literature in the field of reading. However, little empirical evidence is available to substantiate it. The primary purpose of this study

was to provide empirical evidence in support of this assumption, i.e., to evaluate empirically a skill-oriented approach to teaching word attack. In order to obtain such an empirical evaluation, the study tested the functional word attack ability (operationally defined as the ability to attack words encountered in everyday reading tasks both in and out of school) of Subjects who had been taught the word attack subskills according to an objective-based, skill-oriented approach. In order to obtain such an empirical evaluation, it was necessary to find a group of Subjects who had been instructed in a skill-oriented approach to word attack. The experimental Subjects chosen for this study had been taught the word attack skills with an approach to instruction presented by the Wisconsin Design for Reading Skill Development: Word Attack Element (Otto & Askov, 1973). The objective-based, skill-oriented approach to instruction in word attack presented by the Wisconsin Design is described below.

The Wisconsin Design for Reading Skill Development

Word Attack Element

The Wisconsin Design for Reading Skill Development focuses on reading skill development in kindergarten through grade six. The Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design focuses specifically on the development of word attack skills in these grades. The assumption on which the Wisconsin Design is based is that skill

development in word attack is best facilitated when teachers attempt to direct learning experiences according to individual characteristics and needs of pupils. Thus, the aim of the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design is to provide an organized approach to individually guided instruction in word attack skills for elementary school children. According to the Wisconsin Design for Reading Skill Development: Rationale and Guidelines (Otto & Askov, 1973), the components which are necessary for such an approach to reading instruction are the identification of essential word attack skills, statements of specific behavioral objectives for each skill, the assessment of skill needs of each child, the identification of teaching/learning activities and evaluation. Therefore, the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design represents a systematic attempt to (1) state explicitly an array of word attack skills that, by long-standing agreement, are necessary for competence in word attack, (2) assess individual pupil's skill development status by means of criterion referenced tests with respect to explicitly stated behaviors related to the word attack skills, (3) provide a comprehensive management system to guide grouping and planning of word attack skill development instruction, (4) and monitor each pupil's progress in the development of specific word attack skills.

The above goals have been translated into the following format for skill development:

1. Identification of Essential Word Attack Skills.- In order to develop a straightforward approach to instruction in any given

area, the content considered essential to success in that area must be identified. The authors of the Wisconsin Design assume that in the area of word attack the essential content amounts to the essential skills and that these skills can be identified given the present knowledge in the field of reading (Otto & Askov, 1971). The list of word attack skills included in the Wisconsin Design was originally drawn from a public school curriculum guide and then carefully revised. Assurance that the list was in line with practice and expectation in the field of reading was derived from feedback from teachers and reading specialists who worked with the skills, from extensive reviews of the literature and instructional materials, from opinions of authoritative reviewers, and from experience in pilot situations. The authors of the Wisconsin Design identify the essential word attack skills as follows:

Outline of Word Attack Skills

Level A

The child ...

1. Listens for rhyming elements
 - a. words
 - b. phrases and verses
2. Notices likenesses and differences
 - a. pictures (shapes)
 - b. letters and numbers
 - c. words and phrases

3. Distinguishes colors
4. Listens for initial consonant sounds

Level B

The child ...

1. Has a sight vocabulary of 50-100 words
2. Follows left to right sequence
3. Has phonic analysis skills
 - a. consonant sounds
 - i. beginning
 - ii. ending
 - b. consonant blends
 - c. rhyming elements
 - d. short vowels
 - e. simple consonant digraphs
4. Has structural analysis skills
 - a. compound words
 - b. contractions
 - c. base words and endings
 - d. plurals
 - e. possessive forms

Level C

The child ...

1. Has a sight vocabulary of 100-170 words
2. Has phonic analysis skills
 - a. consonants and their variant sounds
 - b. consonant blends
 - c. vowel sounds
 - i. long vowel sounds
 - ii. vowel plus r
 - iii. a plus l
 - iv. a plus g

- v. diphthongs oi, oy, ou, ow, ew
- vi. long and short oo
- d. vowel generalizations
 - i. short vowel generalization
 - ii. silent e generalization
 - iii. two vowels together generalization
 - iv. final vowel generalization
- e. common consonant digraphs
- 3. Has structural analysis skills
 - a. base words with prefixes and suffixes
 - b. more difficult plural forms
- 4. Distinguishes among homonyms, synonyms, and antonyms
 - a. homonyms
 - b. synonyms and antonyms
- 5. Has independent and varied word attack skills
- 6. Chooses appropriate meaning of multiple meaning words

Level D

The child ...

- 1. Has a sight vocabulary of 170-240 words
- 2. Has phonic analysis skills
 - a. three-letter consonant blends
 - b. simple principles of silent letters
- 3. Has structural analysis skills
 - a. syllabication
 - b. accent
 - c. the schwa
 - d. possessive forms

2. Statement of Word Attack Objectives.- The Wisconsin Design also provides specific objectives stated behaviorally for each of the above skills. A meaningful objective identifies and describes behaviors which will indicate that the desired outcome has been achieved. The objective should also identify the conditions under which such behavior is expected to occur. Thus, the word attack objectives specify in terms of overt behavior the performance which will be accepted as evidence of specific word attack skill mastery. For example, the behavioral objective for the skill of listening for initial consonant sounds is, "Given two common words pronounced by the teacher (e.g., bird-ball; boy-take; banana-dog), the child is able to tell when the words do and do not begin alike." A complete list of the word attack objectives included in the Wisconsin Design is presented in Appendix A of this paper.

3. Assessment of Word Attack Skill Attainment.- In order to determine whether the word attack objectives of the Wisconsin Design have been mastered by individual students, a means of assessment which provides immediate and continuous information regarding each student's progress during instruction is required. Such instruments of assessment must be brief so that they do not take up inordinate amounts of instructional time. The instruments must also test the skills students must learn from a given instructional unit in word attack. To meet these assessment requirements, the Wisconsin Design provides criterion referenced tests specifically keyed to the word

attack objectives. The Wisconsin Tests of Reading Skill Development: Word Attack. (1972), are based on the behavioral objectives related to the reading skills essential for mastery of word attack. These group administered, machine scorable tests are available to assess 38 of the 45 specific skills included in the outline of essential word attack skills. Their use permits the teacher to assess pupil proficiency and/or deficiency in specific skills prior to instruction in those skills and to monitor his progress after instruction. Such paper and pencil tests, when combined with informal teacher observation of relevant student behavior, can be used to assess individual pupils' skill development status.

4. Identification of Teaching/Learning Activities for Word Attack Skills.- No one set of materials has been demonstrated to meet the needs of all children or any one group of children as they attempt to gain mastery of word attack skills. The responsibility for choosing the best materials and procedures for teaching word attack skills should rest primarily with the individual teacher who must decide which teaching and learning activities are best suited for the students in his classroom situations. However, to assist the teacher in providing effective teaching/learning activities, the Wisconsin Design includes a Teacher's Planning Guide: Word Attack (Otto & Askov, 1972), which gives helpful suggestions for implementing the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design. The Teacher's

Resource Files (Otto, et. al., 1972) provide a helpful listing of printed matter which might be used to help teach word attack skills. The resource files aid the teacher in selecting published materials best suited for specific skills and provide an example of how the teacher can compile his own resource files of teaching/learning materials.

5. Evaluation.- The ultimate evaluation of this approach to instruction in word attack must be done in terms of the terminal objective of the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design. The terminal objective of the Word Attack Element is that the student, upon attainment of all skills outlined in the Word Attack Element of the Design, will be able to attack phonically and structurally regular words and will recognize on sight all words on the Dolch list (Otto, Chester, McNeil, & Myers, 1974, p. 122).

Specific Purpose of the Study

The primary purpose of this study was to provide empirical evidence for the assumption that if the essential subskills of word attack are mastered, functional word attack ability will result. More specifically, this study sought empirical support for a skill-centered approach to instruction in word attack such as that prescribed by the Wisconsin Design for Reading Skill Development. The terminal objective of the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design is that the student, upon attainment of all skills outlined in the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design, will be able to attack phonically and structurally

regular words and will recognize on sight all words on the Dolch list. Therefore, this study attempted to determine to what extent this terminal objective had been achieved.

It was also attempted in this study to determine how learning basic phonic and structural analysis skills effected the students' performance in attacking irregular words. Since the focus of the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design is specifically oriented toward phonically and structurally regular words, it was expected that the students' performance on regular word lists would be better than their performance on tests of phonically and structurally irregular words. However, this study also attempted to determine whether learning basic phonic and structural analysis skills transfers to aid the students in attacking irregular words.

Because the ability of the students to attack irregular words is not related to the terminal objective of the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design and therefore cannot be answered in terms of the criteria established by the Wisconsin Design authors, it was necessary to include a control group of Subjects who had not used the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design in order to answer questions related to this portion of the study.

Answers to the following questions were sought in this study.

1. What per cent of a sample of synthetic words containing phonic and structural elements taught in the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design can be decoded by the experimental Subjects who have completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design?

2. What per cent of a sample of phonically and/or structurally regular words can be decoded by the experimental Subjects who have completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design?
3. What per cent of a sample of words from the Dolch list can be recognized on sight by the experimental Subjects who have completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design?
4. What per cent of a sample of irregular words can be decoded by the experimental Subjects who have completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design?
5. How does the experimental Subjects' performance on the regular words compare to their performance on the irregular words?
6. What per cent of a sample of irregular words can be decoded by the control Subjects who have not used the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design?
7. What, if any, relationship exists between the performance of the experimental Subjects on the synthetic word lists and the performance of the experimental Subjects on the real word lists?

CHAPTER II

PROCEDURE OF THE STUDY

The primary purpose of this study was to provide empirical evidence for the assumption that if the essential subskills of word attack are mastered, then functional word attack ability will result. Therefore, the study was designed so that Subjects who had completed a skill-oriented curriculum in word attack were tested on specific test lists of synthetic words, phonically and structurally regular words, and phonically and structurally irregular words. The selection of the Subjects, the development of the test lists, the testing procedures, the levels of evaluation, and the specific hypotheses tested are presented in this chapter.

Subjects

The experimental Subjects were chosen from six public elementary schools in Duluth, Minnesota. Each of the schools from which the experimental Subjects were chosen had implemented the Wisconsin Design for Reading Skill Development at least one year prior to the study.

Since the concern of the study was to determine whether the terminal objective of the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design was attained, it was essential that all of the 140 experimental Subjects had mastered the entire Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design before participating in the study. Mastery of the Word Attack

Element was assumed if the Subjects had passed at an 80 per cent mastery level all of the criterion tests related to the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design in the Wisconsin Tests of Reading Skill Development. The 80 per cent mastery level is suggested by the authors of these tests.

The chronological age range of the experimental Subjects was restricted to 10.0 years (120 months) to 12.0 years (144 months). The average age of the experimental Subjects was 134 months. In those schools which do not use grade level designations, experimental Subjects were chosen from students beginning their sixth or seventh year in school (including kindergarten). Since the authors of the Design anticipate that children of average ability will master all of the Level D skills by the end of the fifth year in school (fourth grade), testing children from the above chronological age group and grade levels may have helped to eliminate the possibility that all of the experimental Subjects were of above average ability.

The control Subjects were chosen from three public elementary schools in Evansville, Indiana. Each school from which Subjects were chosen had two fifth and two sixth grade classes of approximately 30 students. Six control Subjects were chosen randomly from each fifth and sixth grade class in each school. All of the 36 randomly selected control Subjects were found to be within the chronological age range established for the experimental Subjects.

Testing Materials

Reading researchers and teachers agree that phonic and structural word analysis skills are valuable techniques for identifying words in the language. As DeBoer and Dallmann state: "Probably few persons in the field of the teaching of reading would disagree with the point of view that no one becomes an efficient reader who has not learned . . . at least part of the code giving the relation between the written symbols and the sounds represented by them" (1970, p. 120). And Piekarz claims that structural and phonic analysis "correctly applied remains the most valuable single technique for identifying the written words of our language" (1964). Therefore, test lists of phonically and structurally regular words were developed for this study to test the phonic and structural analysis subskills of word attack. These regular words were chosen from a corpus of words which children may encounter in everyday reading tasks both in and out of school. In order to determine, however, that the experimental Subjects were using basic phonic and structural analysis word attack skills and were not identifying words by sight, a test composed of synthetic words was also used in the study. The synthetic words on the test list were constructed to test specific phonic and structural subskills of word attack, e.g., long and short vowel sounds, diphthongs, and consonant blends.

Valuable as they may be, however, structural and phonic analysis subskills cannot always be used to attack correctly all words in the

English language. To determine the extent to which learning basic phonic and structural analysis skills effects the students' performance in attacking irregular words, phonically and structurally irregular words were also included in the test words. Because the acquisition of a sight vocabulary is regarded by most researchers as a basic sub-skill of word attack, a test list of Dolch words (1936) was also included in the test. The construction of these test lists is described below.

The Synthetic Word Lists

Because it was not feasible to develop synthetic words to test all of the word attack skills included in the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design, phonic and structural analysis skills concentrated at Levels B and C were selected since the majority of the basic word attack skills are clustered at these levels. It was assumed that the experimental Subjects who were able to demonstrate mastery of these more advanced level word attack skills would have already mastered the skills taught at the beginning level of the word attack program. The synthetic words were developed to test skills in recognizing long vowel sounds, short vowel sounds, consonant blends, consonant digraphs, diphthongs, vowel plus 'r', and base words and endings.

In determining the specific phonemes tested by the synthetic words, their frequency of occurrence in written English was one

important factor considered. The vowel sounds chosen to test the skill of long vowel recognition were long 'e', i.e., /i/, and long 'o', i.e., /o/, because in two different studies both Stone (1966) and Burmeister (1969) found that these long vowel sounds occurred most frequently of the long vowel sounds in common English words. The Stone and Burmeister studies also specify that of the short vowels, short 'i', i.e., /I/, and short 'a', i.e., /æ/, occurred most frequently in common English words. Therefore, these two vowel sounds were chosen to test short vowel recognition skills. In her discussion of consonant blends, Durkin (1970) indicates that blends formed with 'l' and 'r' are especially common; so the 'pl' and 'br' consonant blends were used in the synthetic words constructed to test blend recognition. The diphthongs 'oi' and 'ew' were chosen from the five diphthongs taught in the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design on the basis of frequency of occurrence and phonemic regularity (Burmeister, 1968). Of the digraphs taught in the Wisconsin Design, 'ch' and 'sh' were chosen to be tested. These two digraphs were used both in the initial and final positions in the synthetic words. While 'ng' and 'th' occur perhaps just as frequently as 'ch' and 'sh', 'ng' was not tested since it cannot occur in an initial position in words, and 'th' was not tested in order to avoid the possible confusion between the voiced and voiceless 'th' sounds. The 'ph' digraph was not tested since it occurs only in English words that have been

adopted from foreign languages and therefore does not occur as frequently as the other digraphs.

Four specific items were constructed to test each of the seven skills. The items were designed to resemble real words both in sound and appearance, and the spelling patterns used were those of written English.

A trial study using the synthetic words was run in the public schools of West Bend and Plymouth, Wisconsin, in June, 1971. Forty third and fourth grade pupils were asked to read the list of synthetic words in order to determine whether any of the words were ambiguous, e.g., would cue more than one appropriate response, and should therefore be replaced in the actual study. The synthetic words were also shown to a sample of twenty college level readers in order to further check for ambiguity. After these two reviews of the synthetic words were considered, the following words were chosen for each skill.

Synthetic Word Lists

	<u>List 1</u>	<u>List 2</u>
Long Vowel Sounds:	Long E	Long O
	spleed	plode
	drete	toke
	tefe	pote
	beel	boap

	<u>List 1</u>	<u>List 2</u>
Short Vowel Sounds:	Short A strat zat clab jad	Short I splim blit mish dit
Consonant Blends:	PL plome plute plig plang	BR brame broy brish brode
Consonant Digraphs:	SH grash shate thrish shoker	CH chim chark mouch nurch
Vowel Diphthongs:	OI folter toip mõil coise	EW prew thew sprewl lewck
Vowel plus 'r':	OR plor korm lorp jork	ER lerse verl blerk jer
Base Words and Endings:	-ING yalting flanging gretting murling	-ED garted caded druted spletted

When the synthetic lists were presented to the Subjects, they were presented in the following order:

List 1

spleed
 plome
 strat
 grash
 folter
 plor
 yalting
 drete
 zat
 plute
 shate
 toip
 korm
 flanging
 tefe
 clab
 plig
 thrish
 moll
 lorp
 gretting
 beel
 jad
 plang
 shoker
 coise
 jork
 murling

List 2

plode
 splim
 brame
 chim
 prew
 lerse
 garted
 toke
 blit
 hroy
 chark
 thew
 verl
 caded
 pote
 mish
 brish
 mouch
 sprewl
 blerk
 druted
 boap
 dit
 brode
 murch
 lewck
 jer
 spleted

The Real Word Lists

The desired outcome for the implementation of the Wisconsin Design is functional reading ability, operationally defined by Otto and Askov as the ability to cope with reading tasks encountered in and out of school (Otto & Askov, 1971). The terminal objective for the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design is that the student, upon attainment of all Level D skills, will be able to attack

independently phonically and/or structurally regular words and will recognize on sight all words on the Dolch list. The purpose of the real word list (regular words) was to determine to what extent the terminal objective of the Wisconsin Design had been achieved.

In order to determine whether the Subjects were able to attack phonically and structurally regular words which they would encounter in reading tasks, it was necessary to find a list of words which was reasonably representative of current printed American English. The rank-order list of 50,406 words found in Henry Kucera and W. Nelson Francis' Computational Analysis of Present Day American English (1967) fulfilled this need. This list was taken from a corpus of 1,014,232 words of natural language texts composed of 500 samples of approximately 2,000 words each. The idea that governed the selection and preparation of the text making up the corpus was that it should be synchronic, accurate and representative of a wide range of styles. Synchronicity was achieved by confining the data to texts first printed in the year 1961. Further restrictions on the corpus samples were that the material should be published in the United States, be written by Americans, and be written in prose with no more than 50 per cent of any selection to be written in dialogue. To insure representativeness, the 500 samples were distributed among 15 categories. These categories represented the full range of prose styles and subject matter; from the sports page of a newspaper to the abstruse philosophical discussion; from popular romantic fiction to scientific

journals. The number and content of the categories as well as the proportion of the 500 samples to be assigned to each category was determined at a conference held at Brown University. The participants in this conference included John B. Carroll, W. Nelson Francis, Philip B. Gove, Henry Kucera, Patricia O'Connor, and Randolph Quirk. The categories and numbers of selection assigned to each are as follows (Kucera & Francis, 1967):

Press: Reportage	44
Press: Editorials	27
Press; Reviews	17
Religion	17
Skills and hobbies	36
Popular lore	48
<u>Belles Lettres</u> , Biography, etc.	75
Miscellaneous	30
Learned and Scientific writings	80
Fiction: General	29
Fiction: Mystery and Detective	24
Fiction: Science	6
Fiction: Adventure and Western	29
Fiction: Romance and Love Story	29
Humor	9

The actual samples within each category were selected by a variety of random procedures. Most samples consisted of one continuous passage from a single source. After keypunching of the 1,014,232 "running words" computer processing was done in three stages: segmentation of the texts into words, sorting segmented words into alphabetical order, and merging of identical words and a count of their frequency of occurrence.

Because the Kucera-Francis corpus appears to be representative of the words in print to which the adult literate reader is exposed, the sample of words used for testing in this study was taken from

this rank-order list. A stratified random sampling procedure was used to choose the test words. The first stratum of the sample consisting of 279 words was drawn from the words having a frequency of 69,971 to those having a frequency of 106, i.e., the first 1,004 words on the Kucera-Francis list. The second stratum of the sample consisting of 350 words was taken from those words having a frequency of 105 to those having a frequency of 19, i.e., the words from 1,005 to 5,182 on the Kucera-Francis list. The third stratum of the sample consisting of 384 words was drawn from those words having a frequency of 18 to those having a frequency of 1, i.e., the words from 5,183 to 48,397 on the Kucera-Francis list. Table 1 presents the frequencies, rank-orders, number of words, and sample size for each stratum.

TABLE 1
Frequencies, Rank-Order, Number of Words
and Sample Size by Stratum

Stratum	Frequencies	Rank-Orders	Words in Stratum	Sample Size
1*	69,971 - 106	1 - 1,004	1,004	279
2	105 - 19	1,005 - 5,182	4,177	350
3	18 - 1	5,183 - 48,395	43,214	384
Total			48,395	1,013

*Most frequent words

This stratified sampling procedure resulted in a total sample of 1,013 words with a greater proportion of words chosen from words of high frequency. This procedure was used so that the test words would be more representative of those words which the Subjects would encounter most often in their everyday reading tasks.

The original sample contained both regular and irregular words. The terminal objective of the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design states that the student will be able to attack independently phonically and/or structurally regular words. Therefore, it was necessary to separate the sample words into regular and irregular word lists. Because there is no universally accepted definition of what constitutes a phonically and structurally regular word, and because "phonically and structurally regular" is not defined in the terminal objective of the Wisconsin Design, it was necessary to develop an operational definition for use in this study. This operational definition is: A word will be considered phonically and structurally regular if it can be decoded using the phonic and structural generalizations specifically taught in the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design. For example, the word shout would be considered phonically regular because the 'sh' digraph, the 'ou' diphthong, and the final consonant 't' are all phonic elements specifically taught in the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design. Since the designation of a word as regular or irregular was not always a clear-cut decision, a committee of four reading specialists all holding masters degrees in reading, and two students of linguistics,

37

was asked to review each word and classify it as regular or irregular using as their criterion the operational definition of regular words stated above.

The proportion of words classified as regular in Stratum 1 (the most frequent words) was .7813 or 218 regular words from a total sample of 279 words. The 95 per cent confidence interval assuming infinite degrees of freedom for this stratum is .7383 to .8244. The proportion of words classified as regular in Stratum 2 (the intermediate frequency words) was .6971 or 244 regular words from a total sample of 350 words. The 95 per cent confidence interval assuming infinite degrees of freedom for this stratum is .6497 to .7446. The proportion of words classified as regular in Stratum 3 (the most infrequent words) was .6354 or 244 regular words from a total sample of 384 words. The 95 per cent confidence interval assuming infinite degrees of freedom for this stratum is .5862 to .6846. The number of regular and irregular words in each of the samples by stratum is shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2

Number of Regular and Irregular Words in Samples by Strata

	Stratum 1*	Stratum 2	Stratum 3	Total
Sample Size	279	350	384	1,013
Regular Words	218	244	244	706
Irregular Words	61	106	140	307

*Most frequent words

Of the total sample of 1,013 words, 706 words or .6962 of the total sample were classified as regular words. This proportion of regular words--almost seven/tenths of all words in the random sample--lends support to the assumption that the phonic and structural elements chosen to be included in the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design do represent essential skills necessary for decoding words. (It might also be stated that if the suffix tion had been specifically taught in the Word Attack Element, the proportion of regular words would have been greater since 45 words containing this word pattern were included in the total sample of words.)

The words classified as regular were separated from the rest of the sample words. The Dolch words which were found in the sample of regular words were separated from these words and were considered as a separate category when developing the test lists. This was done because the words on the Dolch list are taught as sight words in the Wisconsin Design.

The regular words excluding the words from the Dolch list were placed in the sampling sequence order. These words were used to compile eight lists of regular words, with the first word in the sampling sequence order becoming the first word on the first list, the second word in the sampling sequence order becoming the first word on the second list, etc., until lists one through four contained 6 regular words from each frequency stratum, lists five and six contained 8 regular words from each frequency stratum, and lists seven and eight contained 10 regular words from each frequency stratum. These lists are shown below:

Regular Word Lists

Stratum IList 1

forms
near
trial
number
personal
army

List 2

applied
however
least
able
running
room

List 3

level
training
chance
short
part
everything

List 4

coming
whole
inside
become
economic
university

List 5

known
numbers
wouldn't
doubt
saying
basic
concerned
voice

List 6

knew
income
court
continue
held
learned
life
pool

List 7

society
greater
alone
town
property
student
couldn't
members
seems
remember

List 8

bad
wide
common
sales
board
market
feed
doing
mass
short

Stratum IIList 1

butter
bringing
wiped
spite
apartment
rendered

List 2

horses
site
cap
hell
pack
caused

List 3

tossed
exposed
helping
deeply
steadily
sacred

List 4

lies
stick
studying
pike
liberals
buying

List 5

reverend
spencer
describe
alike
pace
reasonably
finished
text

List 6

thin
contest
drugs
demonstrated
calling
November
snakes
backed

List 7

bodily
concentrated
harm
optimal
sixties
foams
insisted
weather
avenue
source

List 8

bodies
performances
endless
grand
reporters
contrast
cooling
split
loss
maintained

Stratum IIIList 1

banking
sterling
impinge
epithet
hearsay
gazes

List 2

marsh
fearing
showerhead
pumped
lords
cutthroat

List 3

dregs
helplessness
imitate
mistrial
paid
thousand

List 4

unwilling
sticky
ninety-six
shod
fatty
cruise

List 5

extrovert
caseworkers
trenchant
well-understood
desegregate
drip
villa
Leona

List 6

citrus
letterman
loosening
picket
mobilize
incompatibility
harvested
cattle

List 7

pinging
disappointing
metalworking
non-political
unleash
nearby
defiant
jazz
caving
incorruptible

List 8

stabbed
upswing
retrench
homes
multi-figure
treadmill
marshes
passerby
bankruptcy
shank

The sample words found on the Dolch list were placed in their sampling sequence order. These words were used to compile eight lists of Dolch words, with the first word in the sampling sequence order becoming the first word on the first list, the second word in the sampling sequence order becoming the first word on the second list, etc., until lists one through four contained 6 Dolch words, lists five and six contained 7 Dolch words and lists seven and eight contained 8 Dolch words. These eight lists are shown below.

Dolch Word Lists

List 1

saw
may
some
you
away
him

List 2

get
if
gave
an
small
she

List 3

found
there
now
big
of
want

List 4

why
by
your
well
first
always

List 5

going
here
white
good
wish
every
before

List 6

bring
ten
do
hold
does
out
only

List 7

them
those
has
think
this
tell
can
four

List 8

soon
because
call
yes
or
keep
own
make

The words from the sample which were not classified as regular words were also included in the test list in order to determine whether competence in the word attack skills included in the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design would aid students in attacking phonically and structurally irregular words they might encounter in daily reading tasks. The lists of irregular words were compiled using the same procedure used for compiling the regular word lists. Lists one through four contained 6 irregular words from each frequency stratum, lists five and six contained 7 irregular words from each frequency stratum, and lists seven and eight contained 8 irregular words from each frequency stratum. These irregular word lists are shown below.

Irregular Word Lists

Stratum IList 1

imagination
areas
efforts
population
information
education

List 2

beautiful
followed
country
effort
private
picture

List 3

conditions
usually
process
industrial
behind
mother

List 4

view
volume
throughout
physical
production
nations

List 5

piece
average
lower
eye
hour
mind
determine

List 6

island
most
religion
various
soviet
administration
women

List 7

hair
business
none
gone
record
husband
design
question

List 8

eyes
England
George
similar
analysis
often
enough
section

Stratum IIList 1

opinions
legislation
typical
numerous
London
automobiles

List 2

alternative
estimate
marriages
guy
delicate
vary

List 3

guilty
oxidation
naked
exploration
session
motive

List 4

allies
objective
sufficiently
traditions
honest
editorial

List 5

initiative
rare
numerical
Ohio
implications
senate
height

List 6

explanation
issues
colonial
profession
relationships
share
quarrel

List 7

politicians
Missouri
award
warmth
corporations
criticism
washed
July

List 8

relatively
reflection
tour
reality
palace
identification
discrimination
transportation

Stratum III

<u>List 1</u>	<u>List 2</u>	<u>List 3</u>	<u>List 4</u>
route	noncommissioned	initiator	aversion
photograph	sub-group	excursion	aligned
mechanized	premiums	dishonoured	pastor
modulation	nullify	wreckage	gestured
internationalist	lunar	memorize	word
polarities	mobilization	differentiated	Sunday-school
<u>List 5</u>	<u>List 6</u>	<u>List 7</u>	<u>List 8</u>
uncertainties	timbre	nationality	featured
folks	muscle	polled	baptism
world	influential	pamphlets	epoch
efficiently	basting	palaces	posterior
sanction	reassure	restrictive	medication
odyssey	liaison	taxation	barbarous
colloquium	choir	incredulous	faction
		financially	parent

The words designated for each specific list from each of the above categories were combined to form the eight lists used for testing. These eight lists included four lists of 42 words each, two lists of 52 words each, and two lists of 62 words each. Lists of different lengths were used in order to determine if the length of the word list would have any effect on the performances of the Subjects in the study. The schema for the word lists are presented in Table 3.

TABLE 3
Schema of Real Word Lists

	Lists 1 through 4	Lists 5 and 6	Lists 7 and 8
Regular Words			
Stratum I	6	8	10
Stratum II	6	8	10
Stratum III	6	8	10
Dolch	6	7	8
Irregular Words			
Stratum I	6	7	8
Stratum II	6	7	8
Stratum III	6	7	8
TOTAL	42	52	62

The words on each list were placed in random order for testing purposes. The eight lists are shown below.

List 1

butter
 near
 bringing
 imagination
 areas
 route
 efforts
 banking
 wiped
 opinions
 saw
 legislation
 may
 some
 spite
 typical
 numerous
 information
 gazes
 photograph
 mechanized
 sterling
 trial
 away
 number
 personal
 London
 apartment
 education
 army
 impinge
 him
 automobiles
 you
 modulation
 internationalist
 epithet
 hearsay
 population
 polarities
 forms
 rendered

List 2

beautiful
 noncommissioned
 alternative
 horses
 estimate
 sub-group
 least
 site
 caused
 followed
 marsh
 able
 get
 running
 country
 if
 marriages
 cutthroat
 cap
 gave
 guy
 an
 premiums
 delicate
 hell
 effort
 fearing
 small
 room
 showerhead
 pumped
 private
 lords
 she
 nullify
 pack
 mobilization
 picture
 applied
 vary
 lunar
 however

List 3

level
 there
 training
 guilty
 initiator
 now
 usually
 tossed
 oxidation
 dregs
 process
 exposed
 chance
 helplessness
 imitate
 big
 excursion
 naked
 dishonoured
 wreckage
 helping
 sacred
 mistrial
 memorize
 exploration
 short
 industrial
 part
 paid
 differentiated
 everything
 deeply
 of
 behind
 steadily
 want
 mother
 found
 thousand
 session
 conditions
 motive

List 4

aversion
 inside
 allies
 lies
 become
 why
 by
 stick
 aligned
 view
 volume
 objective
 sufficiently
 throughout
 studying
 pastor
 unwilling
 production
 your
 traditions
 sticky
 gestured
 well
 always
 ninety-six
 pike
 whole
 liberals
 cruise
 first
 coming
 honest
 economic
 word
 physical
 editorial
 shod
 fatty
 nations
 university
 Sunday-school
 buying

List 5

extrovert
 initiative
 piece
 numbers
 going
 average
 here
 uncertainties
 folks
 rare
 lower
 numerical
 Leona
 world
 reasonably
 Ohio
 reverend
 caseworkers
 eye
 spencer
 efficiently
 sanction
 wouldn't
 trenchant
 white
 doubt
 finished
 well-understood
 odyssey
 describe
 good
 wish
 hour
 desegregate
 mind
 alike
 senate
 implications
 every
 voice
 known
 saying

determine
 drip
 basic
 pace
 before
 concerned
 height
 colloquium
 villa
 text

List 6

citrus
 thin
 only
 bring
 knew
 income
 explanation
 letterman
 ten
 timbre
 contest
 loosening
 muscle
 picket
 court
 drugs
 island
 do
 most
 incompatibility
 continue
 calling
 hold
 issues
 influential
 basting
 does
 administration
 women
 mobilize
 colonial
 reassure
 liaison
 backed
 religion
 held
 profession
 various
 share
 learned
 relationships
 demonstrated

quarrel
 life
 pool
 out
 choir
 November
 snakes
 cattle
 soviet
 harvested

List 7

them
 politicians
 financially
 alone
 defiant
 question
 those
 Missouri
 incorruptible
 award
 warmth
 concentrated
 hair
 pinging
 source
 nationality
 business
 polled
 pamphlets
 palaces
 has
 town
 harm
 disappointing
 criticism
 restrictive
 caving
 optimal
 sixties
 think
 corporations
 property
 insisted
 this
 couldn't
 student
 society
 none
 tell
 metalworking
 gone
 record

non-political
 foams
 bodily
 greater
 washed
 remember
 jazz
 husband
 can
 taxation
 weather
 four
 unleash
 avenue
 members
 design
 nearby
 seems
 incredulous
 July

List 8

stabbed
 bad
 relatively
 multi-figure
 performances
 featured
 reflection
 make
 soon
 because
 call
 tour
 endless
 baptism
 upswing
 section
 wide
 eyes
 yes
 epoch
 common
 sales
 England
 retrench
 shank
 own
 grand
 reporters
 posterior
 reality
 George
 similar
 medication
 analysis
 bankruptcy
 cooling
 identification
 barbarous
 contrast
 board
 market
 palace

loss
 feed
 or
 faction
 discrimination
 treadmill
 split
 passerby
 often
 doing
 mass
 keep
 homes
 maintained
 parent
 enough
 bodies
 transportation
 marsh
 short

Testing Procedure

Each of the Subjects was tested individually by one of two examiners. Each experimental Subject was tested with one synthetic word list and one real word list. The synthetic word list presented was randomly selected from two synthetic word lists and the real word list presented was randomly selected from eight real word lists. The synthetic word list was always presented before the real word list. The testing design is presented in Table 4.

TABLE 4

Word List Study Design:

Number of Subjects by Real Word List by Synthetic List by Sex

		Real Word Lists								
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Synthetic Lists										
Boys	1	1	1	5	6	3	2	4	5	27
	2	6	7	6	3	5	7	1	7	42
	Total Boys	7	8	11	9	8	9	5	12	69
Girls	1	7	6	4	7	6	6	8	1	45
	2	3	5	2	2	2	5	3	4	26
	Total Girls	10	11	6	9	8	11	11	5	71
Total		17	19	17	18	16	20	16	17	140

The test words were printed on small flash cards in lower case letters. Only the proper nouns included in the real word list were capitalized. When administering the synthetic word list the examiner told each Subject:

On each of these cards is a word which is not a real word and which does not make sense. When I show you the card, I want you to tell me aloud what you think the word is. If you do not know the word, make the best guess that you can. Do you understand?

When administering the real word list the examiner told each Subject:

On each of these cards is a word which I want you to read aloud to me. If you do not know the word make the best guess that you can. Do you understand?

The examiner gave two example items for each list.

After the Subject had ten seconds to respond to an item on the test, his answer was recorded as either correct or incorrect. Any incorrect response which was corrected within ten seconds was scored as correct. If the word on the real word list was multisyllabic, the accent had to be placed on the accented syllable in order to be considered correct. The raw score for each Subject was the number of words pronounced correctly on each list. The Subjects were not told whether their responses were correct or incorrect.

The control Subjects were tested individually on the lists of irregular words. The same procedure used in testing the experimental Subjects was used. All testing sessions were recorded on tape to check for examiner error and to provide data for the post-hoc analysis of specific errors.

Rationale for the Levels of Mastery

Because a definite level at which attainment of the terminal objective of the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design can be assumed is not specified in the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design, it was necessary to define an operational level of mastery for this study. Recently empirical standard setting procedures have been developed for mastery learning. Block (1971) states that the work done in this area suggests that if students master eighty to eighty-five per cent of the criterion related questions in a given task, they are likely to exhibit the maximum positive cognitive and affective achievement. According to Bormuth (1974), requiring students to attain a mastery level of ninety per cent or above is an unrealistic expectation.

Therefore, eighty per cent was the mastery level chosen to evaluate the experimental Subjects' performances on the synthetic and regular word lists. However, a more stringent level was desired for the Dolch words, so 99 per cent was the mastery level chosen for these words. These mastery levels are specified in the hypotheses presented below.

Hypotheses

1. At least 80 per cent of a sample of synthetic words containing phonic and/or structural elements taught in the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design can be decoded by at least 90 per cent of the experimental Subjects who completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design.

2. At least 80 per cent of a sample of phonically and/or structurally regular words of Frequency Stratum 1 can be decoded by at least 90 per cent of the experimental Subjects who have completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design.

3. At least 80 per cent of a sample of phonically and/or structurally regular words of Frequency Stratum 2 can be decoded by at least 90 per cent of the experimental Subjects who have completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design.

4. At least 80 per cent of a sample of phonically and/or structurally regular words of Frequency Stratum 3 can be decoded by at least 90 per cent of the experimental Subjects who have completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design.

5. At least 99 per cent of the sample of words from the Dolch list can be recognized at sight by at least 90 per cent of the experimental Subjects who have completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design.

6. At least 80 per cent of all of the phonically and/or structurally regular words in the sample can be decoded by at least 90 per cent of the experimental Subjects who have completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design.

7. The per cent of phonically and/or structurally irregular words that can be decoded by 90 per cent of the experimental Subjects who have completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design will be lower than the per cent of phonically and/or structurally regular words that can be decoded by the experimental Subjects.

8. The mean scores of the experimental Subjects who have completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design on the test lists of phonically and/or structurally irregular words will be higher than the mean scores of the control Subjects on the test lists of phonically and/or structurally irregular words.

CHAPTER III

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this chapter, the results of the study are presented in relation to the specific questions and hypothesis of the study.

Synthetic Word Lists

The first question of the study asked what per cent of a sample of synthetic words containing phonic and/or structural elements taught in the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design could be decoded by the experimental Subjects who had successfully completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design. The first hypothesis of the study predicted that at least 80 per cent of the synthetic words could be decoded by at least 90 per cent of the experimental Subjects. On both of the synthetic word lists, the Subjects' mean score was 90.4 per cent. The standard deviation on Synthetic Word List 1. was .64, and on Synthetic Word List 2, it was 1.47. The distribution of the relative true scores on the two synthetic word lists is presented in Table 5.

TABLE 5

Distribution of the Relative True Scores on the Synthetic Word Lists*

Percentage Covered by Interval	Percentile Interval	Lower Limit	Upper Limit
95 %	2.5 to 97.5	.787	1.00
90 %	5.0 to 95.0	.806	1.00
75 %	12.5 to 87.5	.835	.972

* Confidence Coefficient = 95 %¹

The figures in Table 5 show that it can be estimated with at least 95 per cent confidence that at least 90 per cent of the experimental Subjects knew 80.6 per cent of all synthetic words. Thus the results presented in Table 5 support Hypothesis 1. At least 90 per cent of the experimental Subjects were able to decode all of the synthetic words at the specified 80 per cent mastery level. The fact that the mean was the same for both synthetic test lists, i.e., 90.4 indicates that the parallel forms of the synthetic word lists were closely enough related so as not to give an unfair advantage to Subjects tested on either one of the lists.

¹ Tolerance factors used are from W. Dixon and F. Massey, Jr., Introduction to Statistical Analysis, pp. 436-437.

Because the synthetic word lists were both divided into sublists with each sublist testing a specific phonic or structural element, the experimental Subjects' responses to the synthetic word lists were further analyzed in terms of these elements. The first sublist in each list tested the long vowel sound (long 'e' in List 1 and long 'o' in List 2). The experimental Subjects tested on List 1 specifically mispronounced the long 'e' element in the synthetic words 16 per cent of the time. Those tested on List 2 failed to correctly decode the long 'o' sound 13 per cent of the time. On the sublists testing the short vowel sounds, the experimental Subjects tested on List 1 mispronounced the short 'i' two per cent of the time. Those tested on List 2 mispronounced the short 'a' five per cent of the time. None of the experimental Subjects tested on List 1 pronounced the 'pl' blend incorrectly while those tested on List 2 missed the 'br' blend one per cent of the time. Both the 'sh' and the 'ch' digraphs were mispronounced one per cent of the time. Nine per cent of the responses to the 'oi' diphthongs on List 1 were incorrect while eleven per cent of the responses to the 'ew' diphthongs on List 2 were incorrect. On the sublists testing vowel plus 'r', three per cent of the responses to the 'or' on List 1 were incorrect, while four per cent of the responses to the 'er' on List 2 were incorrect. On the sublists testing base words plus endings, the experimental Subjects tested on List 1 missed the 'ing' ending one per cent of the time. Those tested

on List 2 also missed the 'ed' ending one per cent of the time. These results are presented graphically in Table 6.

TABLE 6
Percentages of Incorrect Responses to Synthetic Word Lists

Phonic Elements	List 1	Percent of Errors	List 2	Percent of Errors
Long Vowels	Long 'E'	16.30	Long 'O'	13.02
Short Vowels	Short 'I'	1.76	Short 'A'	4.71
Consonant Blends	'PL'	0.00	'BR'	0.07
Consonant Digraphs	'SH'	1.45	'CH'	0.97
Vowel Diphthongs	'OI'	9.42	'EW'	11.62
Vowel plus 'R'	'OR'	2.54	'ER'	3.87
Base Words and Endings	+'ING'	1.45	+'ED'	0.07

The low percentage of error for each group of synthetic words shown in the analysis of the individual sublists further confirms the fact that the Subjects had mastered at the specified level the phonic and structural analysis skills tested in the study. However, the comparatively high percentage of errors on the long vowel sounds and the vowel diphthongs needs to be considered. The long vowel errors seem to indicate that the Subjects may have been confused by the variant spellings of the long vowel sounds. The larger percentage

of error in response to the diphthongs might be explained by the fact that diphthongs are vowel combinations and may naturally be more difficult. They are also the last of the phonic elements taught in the Design, and their position in the curriculum might have affected the degree of the experimental Subjects' mastery of them.

Thus, the results of the synthetic word lists indicate that Hypothesis 1 is supported. Ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects who had completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design were able to decode the synthetic words with at least 80 per cent mastery.

Real Word Lists

The second specific objective of the study was to determine what per cent of a sample of phonically and/or structurally regular words could be decoded by the experimental Subjects who had completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design. On the test lists of regular words the experimental Subjects' mean score was 94.43 per cent with a standard deviation of 8.54. This total mean score can be broken down into the mean scores on the various frequency strata of the regular word lists. The mean score on the first stratum, i.e., the most frequent words, was 97.82 per cent and the standard deviation was 0.0. On the second stratum the experimental Subjects' mean score was 94.31 per cent with a standard deviation of 5.39. The mean score on the third frequency stratum was

86.57 per cent with a standard deviation of 9.20. The means and standard deviations for each stratum are presented graphically in Table 7.

TABLE 7

Means and Standard Deviations for Regular Word Lists

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation
Regular; Frequency 1	97.82	0.0
Regular; Frequency 2	94.31	5.39
Regular; Frequency 3	86.57	9.20
Dolch Words	99.02	0.00
All Regular Words	94.43	8.54

The five hypotheses of this study specifically concerned with the regular word lists are:

At least 80 per cent of a sample of phonically and/or structurally regular words of Frequency Stratum 1 can be decoded by at least 90 per cent of the experimental Subjects who have completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design.

At least 80 per cent of a sample of phonically and/or structurally regular words of Frequency Stratum 2 can be decoded by at least 90 per cent of the experimental Subjects who have completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design.

At least 80 per cent of a sample of phonically and/or structurally regular words of Frequency Stratum 3 can be decoded by at least 90 per

cent of the experimental Subjects who have completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design.

At least 99 per cent of the sample of words from the Dolch list can be recognized on sight by at least 90 per cent of the experimental Subjects who have completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design.

At least 80 per cent of all the phonically and/or structurally regular words in the sample can be decoded by at least 90 per cent of the experimental Subjects who have completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design.

In order to determine whether these hypotheses are supported by the study, it is necessary to know the distribution of the experimental Subjects' relative true scores on the various strata of the regular word lists. This distribution is presented in Table 8.

TABLE 8

Distribution of the Relative True Scores on the Regular Word Lists*

Variable	Percentage Covered by Interval	Interval	
		Lower Limit	Upper Limit
	99 per cent	0.5th percentile	99.5th percentile
Dolch Words		.9902	-.9902
Regular; Frequency 1		.9782	-.9782
Regular; Frequency 2		.7850	-1.000
Regular; Frequency 3		.5952	-1.000
All Regular Words		.6937	-1.000

Table 8 Continued

Variable	Percentage Covered by Interval	Interval	
		Lower Limit	Upper Limit
	95 per cent	2.5th percentile	97.5th percentile
Dolch Words		.9902	- .9902
Regular; Frequency 1		.9782	- .9782
Regular; Frequency 2		.8228	- 1.000
Regular; Frequency 3		.6598	- 1.000
All Regular Words		.7563	- 1.000
	90 per cent	5.0th percentile	95.0th percentile
Dolch Words		.9902	- .9902
Regular; Frequency 1		.9782	- .9782
Regular; Frequency 2		.8421	- 1.000
Regular; Frequency 3		.6929	- 1.000
All Regular Words		.7843	- 1.000
	75 per cent	12.5th percentile	87.5th percentile
Dolch Words		.9902	- .9902
Regular; Frequency 1		.9782	- .9782
Regular; Frequency 2		.8724	- 1.000
Regular; Frequency 3		.7448	- .9866
All Regular Words		.8323	- 1.000

*Confidence Coefficient = 95 %

The hypothesis that eighty per cent of the phonically and/or structurally regular words of Frequency Stratum 1 can be decoded by ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects is supported by the data presented in Table 8. In the interval which contains ninety per cent of the students, the lower limit score is 97.82. Thus, it can be stated with ninety-five per cent confidence that 97.82 per cent of the phonically and/or structurally regular words could be decoded by at least ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects. The hypothesis that eighty per cent of the phonically and/or structurally regular words of Frequency Stratum 2 can be decoded by ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects is also supported by the figures in Table 8. In the interval containing ninety per cent of the students, the lower limit score is 84.21 per cent. Thus, it can be stated with ninety-five per cent confidence that at least 84.21 per cent of the regular words of Frequency Stratum 2 could be decoded by at least ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects. The hypothesis that eighty per cent of the phonically and/or structurally regular words of Frequency Stratum 3 could be decoded by ninety per cent of the Subjects is not supported by the figures in Table 8. In the interval containing ninety per cent of the Subjects, the lower limit score was 69.29 per cent, a score which is lower than the hypothesized eighty per cent mastery level. The hypothesis that ninety-nine per cent of the words on the Dolch list sample can be decoded by ninety per

of the experimental Subjects is supported by the data in Table 8. In the interval containing ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects, the lower limit score on the Dolch word sample was 99.02 per cent. The hypothesis that eighty per cent of all phonically and/or structurally regular words can be decoded by ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects is not supported by the figures in Table 8. In the interval containing ninety per cent of the students, the lower limit score on all regular words was 78.43 per cent, a score which is lower than the hypothesized eighty per cent mastery level for all regular words.

In summarizing the results of the regular word lists, it can be stated that ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects could decode at least eighty per cent of the phonically and/or structurally regular words on Frequency Stratum 1 and 2 and the words on the Dolch list. However, not all of ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects attained the suggested eighty per cent mastery level on the lists of regular words from the third Frequency Stratum, nor did they attain the suggested eighty per cent mastery level on all regular words. Thus, in the final evaluation of the regular word lists, the terminal objective of the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design, which states that students will be able to decode phonically and/or structurally regular words, was not attained.

Another specific objective of the study was to determine what per cent of a sample of irregular words could be decoded by the

experimental Subjects who had completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design. On the lists of irregular words the mean score for the experimental Subjects was 85.17 per cent and the standard deviation was 10.76. The mean score on the irregular words lists can be broken down into scores for each of the three frequency strata of irregular words. On the first frequency stratum of irregular words, i.e., the most frequent words, the experimental Subjects' mean score was 96.16 per cent and the standard deviation was 0.0. On the second frequency stratum of irregular words, the experimental Subjects' mean score was 83.44 per cent and the standard deviation was 8.19. On the third frequency stratum of irregular words, the mean score was 75.90 per cent and the standard deviation was 11.08. These means and standard deviations are presented in Table 9.

TABLE 9

Means and Standard Deviations for Irregular Word Lists

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation
Irregular; Frequency 1	96.16	0.0
Irregular; Frequency 2	83.44	8.19
Irregular; Frequency 3	75.90	11.08
All Irregular Words	85.17	10.76

Hypothesis 7 of this study states that the percentage of phonically and/or structurally irregular words that can be decoded by ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects will be lower than the percentage of phonically and/or structurally regular words that can be decoded by ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects. In order to determine whether this hypothesis is supported by the test results, it is necessary to compare the experimental Subjects' scores on the irregular word lists to their scores on the regular word lists. The distribution of the experimental Subjects' scores on the irregular word lists is presented in Table 10.

TABLE 10

Distribution of the Relative True Scores on the Irregular Word Lists*

Variable	Percentage Covered by Interval	Interval	
		Lower Limit	Upper Limit
	99 per cent	0.5th percentile	99.5th percentile
Irregular; Frequency 1		.9615	-.9615
Irregular; Frequency 2		.5942	-1.000
Irregular; Frequency 3		.4336	-1.000
All Irregular Words		.5360	-1.000
	95 per cent	2.5th percentile	97.5th percentile
Irregular; Frequency 1		.9615	-.9615
Irregular; Frequency 2		.6515	-1.000
Irregular; Frequency 3		.5114	-1.000
All Irregular Words		.6115	-1.000

Table 10 Continued

Variable	Percentage Covered by Interval	Interval	
		Lower Limit	Upper Limit
	90 per cent	5.0th percentile	95.0th percentile
Irregular; Frequency 1		.9615	- .9615
Irregular; Frequency 2		.6810	- .9878
Irregular; Frequency 3		.5512	- .9668
All Irregular Words		.6501	- 1.000
	75 per cent	12.5th percentile	87.5th percentile
Irregular; Frequency 1		.9615	- .9615
Irregular; Frequency 2		.7271	- .9417
Irregular; Frequency 3		.6136	- .9044
All Irregular Words		.7106	- .9928

*Confidence Coefficient = 95 %

The results of the irregular word lists support Hypothesis 7. The per cent of phonically and/or structurally irregular words that can be decoded by the experimental Subjects will be lower than the per cent of structurally and/or phonically regular words that can be decoded by the experimental Subjects. This fact can be seen more clearly if the scores of ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects

on the regular and irregular word lists are compared. This comparison is presented in Table 11.

TABLE 11

Comparison of the Relative True Scores of Ninety
Per Cent of the Experimental Subjects on
the Regular and Irregular Word Lists

Variable	Percentage Covered by Interval	Interval	
		Lower Limit	Upper Limit
	90 per cent	5.0th percentile	95.0th percentile
Dolch Words		.9902	- .9902
Regular; Frequency 1		.9782	- .9782
Regular; Frequency 2		.8421	- 1.000
Regular; Frequency 3		.6929	- 1.000
All Regular Words		.7843	- 1.000
Irregular; Frequency 1		.9615	- .9615
Irregular; Frequency 2		.6810	- .9878
Irregular; Frequency 3		.5512	- .9668
All Irregular Words		.6501	- 1.000

The results of the irregular word lists indicate that the experimental Subjects who had completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design were not as successful in decoding phonically and/or structurally irregular words as they were in decoding the

phonically and/or structurally regular words. While ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects did well on the irregular words of the first frequency stratum (lower limit score of 96.15 per cent), their scores dropped considerably when they encountered words of the second and third frequency strata (lower limit scores of 68.10 per cent and 55.12 per cent). These results indicate that the experimental Subjects did well when attempting to decode words with which they were probably already familiar, but had difficulty with words which they had probably not seen either as often or possibly not at all.

Thus the Subjects' previous acquaintance with the words seems to be an important factor when considering their scores on the irregular word lists. Since this is the case with the irregular words, it might be argued that familiarity with the words, i.e., frequency, was also the major factor in the Subjects' performance on the regular word lists, because the Subjects' scores were lower on the words of the third frequency stratum of the regular words than they were on the first and second frequency strata (see Table 8). However, if previous acquaintance with the words were the only factor influencing the Subject's performance, the scores on the various strata of both the irregular and regular word lists should be relatively similar. This is not the case as can be seen from the comparisons of the means and standard deviations given in Table 12.

TABLE 12
Comparisons of Means and Standard Deviations
On Regular and Irregular Lists

Regular Words			Irregular Words		
	Mean	Standard Deviation		Mean	Standard Deviation
Frequency 1	97.82	0.0	Frequency 1	96.16	0.0
Frequency 2	94.31	5.39	Frequency 2	83.44	8.19
Frequency 3	86.57	9.20	Frequency 3	75.90	11.08
Dolch Words	99.02	0.0	-----		
All Regular Words	87.52	8.54	All Irregular Words	76.65	10.76

The fact that there is a marked difference between the mean scores on the regular and irregular lists on all three frequency strata indicates that the Subjects' familiarity with the words, i.e., the relative frequency of the words is not the only factor influencing the Subjects' performance on the regular word lists. The Subjects' phonic and/or structural analytic ability also influenced their performance. The Subjects were more successful in decoding words when they were able to directly apply the phonic and/or structural analysis skills they learned from the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design. The graph in Figure 1 (p. 60) which shows a




FIGURE 1

Cumulative Distribution of Regular and Irregular Words from Each Frequency Stratum

comparison of the Subjects' scores on the various strata of regular and irregular words, further illustrates that the Subjects were more successful when they were able to directly apply phonic and structural skills, i.e., when they were decoding regular words.

The fifth objective of the study was to determine what per cent of a sample of irregular words could be decoded by a group of control Subjects who had not used the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design. On the first stratum of irregular words, i.e., the most frequent words, the mean score for the control Subjects was 93.28 per cent. On the second stratum of irregular words, the control Subjects' mean score was 78.34 per cent, and on the third stratum of the irregular words, the control Subjects' mean score was 68.98 per cent. These scores are somewhat lower than the scores attained by the experimental Subjects on the three frequency strata of the irregular words. The scores are compared in Table 13.

TABLE 13

Comparison of Control Subjects' and Experimental Subjects' Mean Scores on the Irregular Word Lists

Frequency Stratum	Mean of Experimental Subjects	Mean of Control Subjects
Irregular; Frequency 1	96.16	93.28
Irregular; Frequency 2	83.44	78.34
Irregular; Frequency 3	75.90	68.98
All Irregular Words	85.17	80.15

The differences between the scores of the experimental and control Subjects presented in Table 13 support the hypothesis that the mean scores of the experimental Subjects on the test lists of phonically and/or structurally irregular words will be higher than the mean scores of the control Subjects on the test lists of phonically and/or structurally irregular words.

Correlations Between Responses to Synthetic and Real Word Lists

The final objective of the study was to determine what, if any, relationship existed between the performances of the experimental Subjects on the synthetic word lists and their performance on the real word lists. The coefficients of the correlations found between the synthetic word lists scores and the real word lists scores are presented in Table 14.

TABLE 14

Correlations Between Responses to Synthetic and Real Word Lists

	Regular Words		
	Frequency 1	Frequency 2	Frequency 3
Synthetic Words	-.057	.331	.414
	Dolch Words		All Regular Words
Synthetic Words	-.025		.426

Table 14 Continued

Irregular Words			
	Frequency 1	Frequency 2	Frequency 3
Synthetic Words	.271	.282	.440
All Irregular Words			
Synthetic Words	.448		

The figures in Table 14 indicate that very low correlation coefficients were obtained in this portion of the study. These low correlation coefficients were probably due to the very low ranges in the scores on all of the word lists and especially the very low range of scores on the synthetic word lists.

Effect of List Length

When the real word lists were developed, they were constructed of different lengths in order to find out whether the length of the word list would have any effect on the performance of the Subjects. The mean scores for each of the eight real word lists indicate that list length appeared to have little effect on the performance. These mean scores are shown below.

TABLE 15

Mean Scores for each of the Eight Real Word Lists

List 1	List 2	List 3	List 4
91.88	94.27	88.65	92.23
List 5	List 6	List 7	List 8
87.87	91.54	91.06	92.75

Summary of the Results

The analysis of the results of the synthetic word lists shows that Hypothesis 1 of the study is supported. At least eighty per cent of the sample of synthetic words could be decoded by at least ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects who had successfully completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design.

The analysis of the results of the regular word lists shows that Hypotheses 2 and 3 of the study are supported. At least eighty per cent of a sample of phonically and/or structurally regular words of Frequency Stratum 1 and 2 could be decoded by at least ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects. The analysis of the results of the regular word lists also indicates that Hypothesis 4 must be rejected. Not all of ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects could decode at least eighty per cent of the phonically and/or

structurally regular words of Frequency Stratum 3. Hypothesis 5 is supported by the results of the regular word lists. At least 99 per cent of the sample of words from the Dolch list could be recognized on sight by at least 90 per cent of the experimental Subjects. However, the analysis of the results of the entire regular word list indicates that Hypothesis 6 must be rejected. Not all of ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects could decode at least 80 per cent of all the phonically and/or structurally regular words in the sample. Thus, the terminal objective of the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design was not attained.

The analysis of the results of the irregular word lists supports Hypothesis 7. The per cent of the sample of phonically and/or structurally irregular words that could be decoded by the experimental Subjects who had completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design was lower than the per cent of phonically and/or structurally regular words that could be decoded by the experimental Subjects. The analysis of the results of the irregular word lists also indicates that Hypothesis 8 is supported. The mean scores of the experimental Subjects on the test lists of phonically and/or structurally irregular words were higher than the mean scores of the control Subjects on the irregular word lists.

One of the specific questions asked in the study was what, if any, relationship exists between the experimental Subjects' scores on the synthetic word lists and their scores on the real

word lists. The analysis of the results shows that there is only a very low correlation between the experimental Subjects' scores on the synthetic word lists and their scores on the real word lists. This result was probably due to the very limited range of the synthetic word lists.

CHAPTER IV

SUMMARY, LIMITATIONS, AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE STUDY

Summary

The primary purpose of this study was to provide empirical evidence for the assumption that if the essential subskills of word attack are mastered, then functional word attack ability will result. Because phonic and structural analysis is recognized as a valuable technique for decoding words in the language, test lists of phonically and/or structurally regular words were developed to test the phonic and structural subskills of word attack. These regular words were chosen from a corpus of words which children will probably encounter in everyday reading tasks both in and out of school. The words on the lists were ranked in three strata on the basis of their relative frequency. Because the acquisition of a sight vocabulary is considered a basic skill of word attack, the regular word lists included a sample of words taken from the Dolch list. To determine whether the Subjects were using basic phonic and structural analysis skills rather than identifying the words by sight, a test list composed of synthetic words, which tested specific phonic and structural subskills of word attack, was also included in the study. However, since not all the words in the English language are regular, a list of phonically and structurally irregular words was developed to test the extent to which students who had learned basic phonic

and structural subskills of word attack could decode phonically and/or structurally irregular words. The irregular words were also ranked in three strata according to frequency.

Because the study was designed to evaluate empirically a skill-oriented approach to word attack, the experimental Subjects for this study had to be a group of students who had successfully completed a skill-oriented approach to word attack. The experimental Subjects chosen for this study were students from the public elementary schools of Duluth, Minnesota, who had been taught and had mastered the word attack subskills according to the procedures outlined in the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design for Reading Skill Development. The terminal objective of the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design is that the student, upon attainment of all skills outlined in the Word Attack Element of the Design, will be able to attack phonically and/or structurally regular words and will recognize on sight all words on the Dolch list. Therefore, the specific questions and hypotheses of the study were developed to determine to what extent this terminal objective had been achieved.

Additional questions and hypotheses were developed to determine the extent to which students who had mastered phonic and structural analysis skills could decode phonically and/or structurally irregular words. Since the focus of the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design is specifically oriented toward phonically and/or structurally

regular words, it was expected that the experimental Subjects would score higher on the test lists of phonically and/or structurally regular words than on the test lists of irregular words. It was also attempted in this study to determine whether learning basic phonic and structural subskills of word attack would aid the students in attacking irregular words. Because the students' ability to attack irregular words is not directly related to the terminal objective of the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design, it was necessary to include in this section of the study a control group of Subjects who had not used the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design.

The analysis of the results of the study indicate that at least ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects who had completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design were able to decode the synthetic words with at least eighty per cent mastery. A further analysis of the individual sublists of the synthetic word lists indicated that the experimental Subjects had mastered each of the specific phonic and structural skills tested at this level of mastery. However, the percentage of error was considerably higher on the sublists testing the long vowel sounds and the vowel diphthongs.

The analysis of the results of the regular word lists indicated that ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects who had completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design achieved

the suggested eighty per cent mastery level on the first and second frequency stratum of the regular word lists. However, their scores dropped below the eighty per cent mastery level when they were tested on the regular words of the third frequency stratum. The lower limit score of the interval which contains ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects for all regular words was 78.43 per cent which is also below the specified mastery level.

The scores of the experimental Subjects on the irregular word lists were considerably lower than their scores on the regular word lists. However, their scores were higher than the scores of the control Subjects on the irregular word lists.

The above results warrant the following conclusions. The fact that the experimental Subjects who had completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design attained the suggested eighty per cent mastery level on the synthetic word lists indicates that they had learned the phonic and structural analysis skills which were tested in the study. The low percentage of error for each group of synthetic words in the individual sublists further confirms that the Subjects had attained these skills. The larger percentage of errors in response to the specific words testing diphthongs and long vowel sounds indicates that students had more difficulty in coping with these phonic elements.

The results of the regular word lists show that the terminal objective of the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design was

not achieved. The fact that at least ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects who had completed the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design were able to decode the words of the first and second frequency strata of the regular word sample at the specified eighty per cent mastery level indicates that they had some ability to decode phonically and/or structurally regular words. However, since they did not achieve the specified mastery level when decoding words of the third frequency stratum or on all the regular words, the terminal objective of the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design was not achieved. Furthermore, it might be argued that familiarity with the words rather than phonic and structural analytic ability was the major factor in the experimental Subjects' success in decoding words of frequency strata one and two. This argument may be countered by the fact that the experimental Subjects were more successful in decoding the three frequency strata of the regular words than they were in decoding the three frequency strata of the irregular words. Therefore, more than familiarity, i.e., frequency, seems to be involved in their successful decoding of the regular words in the first and second frequency strata. The experimental Subjects' phonic and/or structural analytic ability appears to have influenced their performance on the regular word lists. They were more successful in decoding words when they were able to directly apply the phonic and structural analysis skills they learned in the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design.

Limitations of the Study

One of the major limitations of the study was that the testing procedure created an artificial reading situation which could not really be used to evaluate all of the subskills of word attack. Because the words were presented in isolation rather than in context, the Subjects could not apply the word attack subskill of meaning clues, but were limited to the use of the sight vocabulary and phonic and structural analysis skills. Because the important word attack subskill of meaning clues cannot be evaluated using the testing procedures designed for this study, the study is not a rigorous evaluation of all word attack skills. Rather, it is limited to the evaluation of the phonic and structural analysis subskills and to the acquisition of a sight vocabulary.

While the study tested both phonic and structural subskills on the real word lists, the synthetic word lists emphasize more phonic than structural subskills. Except for the words that tested base words plus endings, all of the synthetic words tested phonic analysis subskills. This is another limitation of the study since the synthetic words do not really test whether the experimental Subjects had mastered the structural analysis techniques such as syllabication and accent. The synthetic word lists could have included more words that emphasized structural analysis techniques.

Another limitation of the study is that the method of selection of experimental Subjects might have resulted in a Subject sample

containing only students of high or average ability. Because the experimental Subjects who were chosen had to have mastered the entire Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design, students of below average ability who had been instructed in the Design could have been eliminated as Subjects. It was attempted to control for this problem by choosing experimental Subjects who were in their sixth and seventh year in school and requiring mastery only of those skills which should be mastered by students of average ability in their fifth year in school. However, students of lower ability levels may still have been excluded.

Another limitation of the study was the nature of the control group. A rigorous control group for a study of this type would have had to consist of Subjects who had not had any instruction in the phonic and structural analysis subskills of word attack. The control Subjects in this study were distinguished from the experimental Subjects only by the fact that they had not been instructed in and mastered the word attack skills according to the guidelines set forth in the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design. However, the control Subjects may have acquired skill in phonic and structural analysis by some other method of instruction. To find Subjects for a control group who had no instruction in techniques of phonic and structural word analysis would be a difficult, if not impossible, task. This lack of a rigorous control group limits the conclusions that can be drawn from the results of the irregular word lists in this study.

Implications of the Study for Further Research in Word Attack

Because not all of ninety per cent of the experimental Subjects in this study attained the specified eighty per cent mastery level on the regular word lists, the terminal objective of the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design was not attained. Therefore, the study does not provide rigorous empirical evidence for the assumption that if the basic subskills of word attack are mastered, then functional word attack ability will result. However, an analysis of the results of the study indicates that there is some evidence to support the idea that phonic and structural analysis skills can aid the student in decoding phonically and structurally regular words. The fact that the experimental Subjects who had mastered the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design attained higher scores on the tests of phonically and/or structurally regular words than they did on the tests of irregular words appears to indicate that their phonic and structural analytic ability influenced their performance, since they were more successful in decoding words to which they could directly apply the phonic and structural analysis skills which they learned from the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design.

Because this difference in the experimental Subjects' scores implies that phonic and structural analysis subskills can aid the student in decoding phonically and structurally regular words, more research should be conducted to investigate which phonic and structural subskills are most helpful to the student. There is no

indication in the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design, for example, as to which of the various phonic and structural subskills of word attack aid the student most when he attempts to decode new words. Research that helps to refine the list of essential phonic and structural analysis subskills and establishes a hierarchy of such skills would be valuable in determining how word attack skills can be taught most effectively.

That some of the phonic and structural subskills taught in the Word Attack Element of the Wisconsin Design may be more difficult to master than others seems to be indicated by the results of the synthetic word lists in the present study. The results of that test show that the experimental Subjects had a higher percentage of errors when decoding synthetic words containing two consecutive vowels, i.e., synthetic words using a two-vowel variant spelling of the long vowel sound and synthetic words containing diphthongs, than they did when decoding the other synthetic words (see pp. 44-45). The fact that students seem to have more difficulty in decoding such vowel combinations may have important implications for the implementation of a skill-oriented approach to word attack if it were determined that the ability to recognize vowel combinations was one of the more essential of the phonic analysis skills. In a recent study in which he attempts to establish an effectiveness hierarchy of word attack subskills, John McNeil (1974) concluded that the phonic analysis skills necessary to decode words containing

two consecutive vowels are two of the "probably necessary" subskills of word attack. Research that attempts to determine the relative effectiveness of the various phonic subskills seems to be a necessary step in determining how phonic analysis skills can be taught most effectively.

McNeil also came to some conclusions regarding the relative effectiveness of structural analysis techniques. Of the seven word attack subskills he labels as "probably necessary", three are structural analysis skills, i.e., determining the number of syllables, identifying base words, and recognizing compound words. Research that would further investigate the nature and utility of structural analysis skills is also essential in determining which phonic and structural word analysis skills are most valuable in teaching word attack. McNeil's study is only an initial step in refining the list of essential skills of word attack, but his research is one type of research which is necessary to further develop and evaluate skill-oriented curriculums of word attack.

A further implication of the study can perhaps be inferred from one of its limitations. One limitation of the present study was that the testing procedure created an artificial reading situation, a situation which prevented the Subjects from applying the word attack subskill of context clues. This limitation implies the need for research which investigates the nature and effectiveness of meaning clues as a basic subskill of word attack. A study which

presented words to be decoded in context would provide its Subjects with the opportunity to employ the additional word attack subskill of meaning clues as well as the subskills of phonic and structural analysis and sight vocabulary.

REFERENCES

- Benz, D. A., & Rosemeir, R. A. Word analysis and comprehension. The Reading Teacher, 1968, 21, 558-563.
- Block, J. H. Operating procedures for mastery learning. In J. H. Block (Ed.) Mastery learning--theory and practice. New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, 1971, 64-76.
- Bond, G. L., & Tinker, M. A. Reading difficulties: Their diagnosis and correction. (2nd ed.) New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1967.
- Bormuth, J. R. Reading literacy: its definition and assessment. Reading Research Quarterly, 1973-1974, 9, 7-66.
- Burmeister, L. E. The effect of syllabic position and accent on the phonemic behavior of single word graphemes. Proceedings of the Thirteenth Annual Convention of the International Reading Association, 1961, 1, 645-649.
- Burmeister, L. E. Vowel pairs. The Reading Teacher, 1968, 21, 445-452.
- DeBoer, J. J., & Dallmann, M. The teaching of reading. (3rd ed.) New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, 1970.
- Dixon, W. J., & Massey, F. J. Introduction to statistical analysis. (3rd ed.) New York: McGraw-Hill, 1969.
- Dolch, E. W. A basic sight vocabulary. Elementary School Journal, 1936, 35, 456-460.
- Durkin, D. Teaching them to read. Boston: Allyn and Bacon, 1970.
- Gagne, R. M. The conditions of learning. (2nd ed.) New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, 1970.

- Gray, W. S. Reading and physiology and psychology of reading. In C. W. Harris, (Ed.) Encyclopedia of Educational Research. New York: Macmillan, 1960, 1,086-1,088.
- Guszk, F. J. Diagnostic reading instruction in the elementary school. New York: Harper and Row, 1972.
- Hardy, M., Stennett, R., & Smythe, P. Word attack: How do they "figure them out"? Elementary English, 1973, 50, 99-102.
- Harris, A. J. How to increase reading ability: A guide to developmental and remedial methods. (5th ed.) New York: David McKay, 1970.
- Harris, L. A., & Smith, C. B. Reading instruction through diagnostic teaching. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1972.
- Johnson, M. S. Evaluating the secondary school reading program. Reading Instruction in Secondary Schools. Perspectives in Reading No. 2, Newark, Delaware: International Reading Association, 1964, 117-128.
- Karlin, R. Teaching elementary reading: Principles and strategies. New York: Harcourt, Brace, and Jovanovich, 1971.
- Kucera, H., & Francis, W. N. Computational analysis of present day American English. Providence: Brown University Press, 1967.
- McNeill, J. False prerequisites in the teaching of reading. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, April, 1974.

- Otto, W., & Askov, E. The Wisconsin Design for reading skill development. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the International Reading Association, Atlantic City, April, 1971.
- Otto, W., & Askov, E. The Wisconsin design for reading skill development: Rationale and guidelines. (2nd ed.) Minneapolis: National Computer Systems, 1973.
- Otto, W., & Askov, E. The Wisconsin design for reading skill development: Teachers planning guide, word attack. Minneapolis: National Computer Systems, 1972.
- Otto, W., Askov, E., Buschek, P., Gustafson, D., & Saemann, R. The Wisconsin design for reading skill development: Teachers resource files, word attack. Minneapolis: National Computer Systems, 1972.
- Otto, W., Chester, R., McNeil, J., & Myers, S. Focused reading instruction. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1974.
- Otto, W., Kamm, K., Stewart, D., & Miles, P. The Wisconsin tests of reading skill development. Minneapolis: National Computer Systems, 1970.
- Piekarz, J. Common sense about phonics. The Reading Teacher, 1964, 18, 114-117.
- Roberts, G. R., & Lunzer, E. A. Reading and learning to read. In E. A. Lunzer & J. F. Morris (Eds.) Development in human learning. New York: American Elsevier, 1968, 192-224.

- Roswell, F., & Chall, J. Helping poor readers with word recognition skills. The Reading Teacher, 1957, 10, 200-204.
- Schell, L. M. Reading Difficulties: Heuristic and explanatory models. Reading World, 1973, 22, 194-200.
- Scrivner, W. P., & Scrivner, W. They all need to read. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1972.
- Singer, H. Handbook for the volunteer tutor. Newark, Delaware: International Reading Association, 1969.
- Smith, F. Understanding reading. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1971.
- Staats, A. W., Staats, C. I., Schutz, R.E., & Wolf, M. M. The conditioning of reading responses using "extrinsic" reinforcers. Journal of Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 1972, 5, 33-40.
- Stone, D. R. A sound symbol frequency count. The Reading Teacher, 1966, 19, 498-504.
- Weiner, M. & Cromer, W. Reading and reading difficulty: A conceptual analysis. Harvard Educational Review, 1967, 37, 620-643.

APPENDIX

STATEMENT OF SKILLS AND OBJECTIVES FOR THE WORD ATTACK ELEMENT OF THE WISCONSIN DESIGN FOR READING SKILL DEVELOPMENT

Level A

The child ...

1. Listens for rhyming elements

a. Words

Objective: Given familiar words pronounced by the teacher, the child indicates which of three words rhymes with a stimulus word; or tells whether two words do or do not rhyme.

b. Phrases and verses

Objective: In real or nonsense verses read by the teacher, the child supplies the missing word in a verse (e.g., "The big tall man/Fried eggs in a ____"); or identifies the rhyming words.

2. Notices likenesses and differences

a. Pictures (shapes)

Objective: The child identifies shapes that are the same or different in form and orientation.

b. Letters and numbers

Objective: The child selects the letter (upper or lower case) or number of a series that is identical to a key number or letter.

c. Words and phrases

Objective: The child selects the word or phrase in a series that is identical to a stimulus word or phrase (e.g., down: wand, down, bone, find; back and forth: bank and find, back and forth, found it).

3. Distinguishes colors

Objective: The child identifies the colors blue, green, black, yellow, red, orange, white, brown, purple, when named by the teacher.

4. Listens for initial consonant sounds

Objective: Given a familiar word pronounced by the teacher, the child indicates which of three other words begins with the same consonant sound.

Level B

The child ...

1. Has a sight word vocabulary

Objective: Given a maximum one-second exposure per word, the child recognizes preprimer and primer level words from the adapted Dolch sight vocabulary list.

2. Follows left-to-right sequence

Objective: The child reacts to number or letter stimuli in a left-to-right sequence.

3. Has phonic analysis skills

a. Consonant sounds

1) Beginning consonant sounds

Objective: Given real or nonsense words pronounced by the teacher, the child identifies the letter that stands for the initial sound and tells whether two words do or do not begin alike; or supplies another word that begins with the same sound.

2) Ending consonant sounds

Objective: Given real or nonsense words pronounced by the teacher, the child identifies the letter that stands for the ending sound and tells whether two words do or do not end alike; or supplies another word that ends with the same sound.

b. Consonant blends

Objective: Given real or nonsense words that begin with the consonant blends bl, cl, fl, gl, pl, sl, br, cr, dr, fr, gr, pr, tr, the child identifies the two letters that stand for the initial blend in words pronounced by the teacher; or identifies words that begin with the same blend as a stimulus word pronounced by the teacher and pronounces words that begin with the blends listed above.

c. Rhyming elements

Objective: Given a word, the child selects a rhyming word based on structure (e.g., man, pan, and fan are from the same word family); or supplies a real or nonsense rhyming word based on structure.

d. Short vowels

Objective: Given a one-syllable word with a single short vowel sound pronounced by the teacher (e.g., man, duck, doll, hop), the child identifies the letter that stands for the vowel sound or reproduces the vowel sound.

e. Simple consonant digraphs

Objective: Given real or nonsense words pronounced by the teacher, the child identifies the letters in the simple two-consonant combinations sh, ch, th, that result in a single new sound.

4. Has structural analysis skills

a. Compound words

Objective: The child identifies compound words; or specifies the elements of a compound word.

b. Contractions

Objective: The child identifies simple contractions (e.g., I'm, it's, can't) and uses contractions correctly in sentences.

c. Base words and endings

Objective: The child identifies the root word in familiar inflected words (e.g., jumping, catches, runs).

d. Plurals

Objective: The child tells whether familiar words (noun plus s or es) are singular or plural.

e. Possessive forms

Objective: The child identifies the possessive forms of nouns used in context.

Level C

The child ...

1. Has a sight word vocabulary

Objective: Given a maximum one-second exposure per word, the child recognizes first grade words from the adapted Dolch sight vocabulary list.

2. Has phonic analysis skills

a. Consonants and their variant sounds

Objective: Given words containing variant sounds of c, s, and g (e.g., cake-city, sit-strees, go-giant), the child indicates whether the underlined letters in given pairs of words have the same or different sounds.

Note: Although the consonants c, g, s, q, d, x, t, and z have more than one sound, variant sounds of c, s, and g are most common at this level.

b. Consonant blends

Objective: Given real or nonsense words beginning with the consonant blends st, sk, sm, sp, sw, sn, the child identifies the two letters that stand for the initial blend in words pronounced by the teacher; or identifies words that begin with the same blend as a stimulus word pronounced by the teacher and pronounces words that begin with the blends listed above.

c. Vowel sounds

1) Long vowel sounds

Objective: The child identifies the letter that stands for a single vowel sound in real or nonsense words pronounced by the teacher (e.g., nose, brile, cheese, seat, labe, run, mab) and indicates whether the sound is long or short; or pronounces real or nonsense words with a single vowel sound.

2) Vowel plus r

Objective: The child identifies the vowel that is with r in real or nonsense words pronounced by the teacher (e.g., darl, der, mur, form, girt); or pronounces words with r-controlled vowels (e.g., part, fur, hurt, bird).

Note: Because er, ir, and ur have the same sound e, i, or u is the appropriate response in er, ir, and ur words.

3) a plus l

Objective: The child identifies the letters that stand for the al sound in real or nonsense words pronounced by the teacher; or pronounces words in which there is an al combination (e.g., salt, ball, zall).

4) a plus w

Objective: The child identifies the letters that stand for the aw sound in real or nonsense words pronounced by the teacher; or pronounces words in which there is an aw combination (e.g., draw, saw, blaw).

5) Diphthongs ew, oi, oy, ou, ow

Objective: Given words containing ew, oi, oy, ou, ow, the child identifies the diphthongs in nonsense words pronounced by the teacher; or pronounces words containing diphthongs.

6) Long and short oo

Objective: The child indicates whether the oo in words has the long oo (e.g., choose) or the short oo (e.g., book) sound; or pronounces words in which there is an oo combination.

d. Vowel generalizations

1) Short vowel generalization

Objective: Given real or nonsense words in which there is a single vowel and a final consonant (e.g., bag, his, cat, gum), the child tells whether the words are pronounced according to the generalization; or pronounces the words giving the vowel its short sound.

Note: Children should learn that some familiar sight words are exceptions to this generalization (e.g., bold, find, sight, wild).

2) Silent e generalization

Objective: Given real or nonsense words that have two vowels, one of which is a final e separated from the first vowel by a consonant (e.g., cake, cube, mape, jome), the child tells whether the words are pronounced according to the generalization; or first attempts pronunciation by making the first vowel long and the final e silent.

Note: Children should learn that some familiar sight words are exceptions to this generalization (e.g., come, have, prove).

3) Two vowels together generalization

Objective: Given real or nonsense words that have two consecutive vowels (e.g., boat, meet, bait, deach), the child tells whether the words are pronounced according to the generalization; or first attempts pronunciation by making the first vowel long and the second vowel silent.

Note: Children should learn that some familiar sight words (e.g., bread, August) and words containing diphthongs are exceptions to this generalization.

4) Final vowel generalization

Objective: Given real or nonsense words in which the only vowel is at the end (e.g., go, she, thi), the child tells whether the words are pronounced according to the generalization; or pronounces the words giving the vowel its long sound.

Note: Children should learn that some familiar sight words are exceptions to this generalization (e.g., do, who).

5) Common consonant digraphs

Objective: Given real or nonsense words pronounced by the teacher, the child identifies the letters in the two consonant combinations ch, nk, sh, ng, th, wh, that result in a single new sound.

3. Has structural analysis skills

a. Base words with prefixes and suffixes

Objective: The child selects base words with or without affixes that are appropriate to the context.

b. More difficult plural forms

Objective: The child tells whether more difficult plural forms (e.g., mice, ladies, children) are singular or plural.

4. Distinguishes among homonyms, synonyms, and antonyms

a. Homonyms

Objective: Given a sentence context, the child chooses between homonyms (e.g., Mother bought some meet/meat for dinner).

b. Synonyms and antonyms

Objective: The child tells whether words in a pair have the same, opposite, or simply different meanings.

5. Has independent and varied word attack skills

Objective: In both self-directed and teacher-directed reading, the child uses a variety of skills (e.g., picture clues, context clues, structural analysis, sound/symbol analysis, comparison of new to known words) in attacking unknown words.

Note: The objective can be assessed through an individually administered informal reading inventory or by teacher observation.

6. Chooses appropriate meaning of multiple meaning words

Objective: Given a multiple meaning word in varied contexts, the child chooses the meaning appropriate to a particular context.

Level D

The child ...

1. Has a sight word vocabulary

Objective: Given a maximum one-second exposure per word, the child recognizes second and third grade words from the adapted Dolch sight vocabulary list.

2. Has phonic analysis skills

a. Three-letter consonant blends

Objective: The child identifies the letters in the three-letter blends scr, shr, spl, spr, str, thr, in real or nonsense words pronounced by the teacher.

b. Simple principles of silent letters

Objective: Given words containing silent letters (e.g., knife, gnat, write, dumb, doubt, high, flight, eat, four, believed), the child identifies the silent letters; or pronounces words containing silent letters.

Note: Silent consonants commonly occur in the following combinations: (k)n, (g)n, (w)r, m(b), (b)t, i'gh, (t)ch.

3. Has structural analysis skills

a. Syllabication

Objective: The child divides words into single-vowel sound units by applying syllabication generalizations.

b. Accent

Objective: The child indicates the accented part (syllable) in familiar words, primarily two-syllable ones.

c. Unaccented schwa

Objective: Given words that he knows, the child specifies the unaccented syllable containing a schwa.

Note: Although the short sound of u in, say, puppy has the same sound as that of the schwa, it is not a schwa because it is in the accented syllable.

d. Possessive forms

Objective: The child identifies possessive nouns and pronouns used in context.

BREAKDOWN OF THE ADAPTED DOLCH BASIC WORD LIST BY LEVELS

Level B: Preprimer

a	find	is	not	three
and	for	it	one	to
away	funny	jump	play	two
big	go	little	red	up
blue	help	look	run	we
can	here	make	said	where
come	I	me	see	yellow
down	in	my	the	you

Level B: Primer

all	do	no	say	want
am	eat	now	she	was
are	four	on	so	well
at	get	our	soon	went
ate	good	out	that	what
be	have	please	there	white
black	he	pretty	they	who
brown	into	ran	this	will
but	like	ride	too	with
came	must	saw	under	yes
did	new			

Level C: First Grade

after	fly	his	old	take
again	from	how	once	thank
an	give	just	open	them
any	going	know	over	then
as	had	let	put	think
ask	has	live	round	walk
by	her	may	some	were
could	him	of	stop	when
every				

Level D: Second Grade

always	does	its	sit	very
around	don't	made	sleep	wash
because	fast	many	tell	which
been	first	off	their	why
before	five	or	these	wish
best	found	pull	those	work
both	gave	read	upon	would
buy	goes	right	us	write
call	green	sing	use	your
cold				

Level D: Third Grade

about	eight	hurt	myself	six
better	fall	if	never	small
bring	far	keep	only	start
carry	full	kind	own	ten
clean	got	laugh	pick	today
cut	grow	light	seven	together
done	hold	long	shall	try
draw	hot	much	show	warm
drink				